

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/692,048	10/23/2003	Nicholas M DiBella	1111F-P	7262
7590 05/18/2005		•	EXAMINER	
Frank Pincelli			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
145 Red Cedar	Drive	•		
Rochester, NY	14616	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3764	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	313	1
DLAS M		
ddress		
ely. communication.		
e merits is		
. `		
FR 1.121(d). TO-152.		
l Stage		

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/692,048	DIBELLA, NICHOLAS M				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Michael Brown	3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address				
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory a preto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□							
Applicati	ion Papers		·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.					
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the control		• •				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Besee the attached detailed Office action for a company of the application from the second company.	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have bee ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-23-03</u> .	8) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 6, 8-9 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chee.

Chee discloses in figures 1-3 a keyboard posture device comprising a lateral support section 46, left and right side strut portions (42,42), left and right arm restraints (20,20), a means 50 for securing the device and a back pad and the lateral support section, left and right strut portions and the left and right arm restraints are of a fixed length (fig. 2).

Chee discloses in figures 1-3 a keyboard posture device comprising a lateral support 46, left and right frame member (42,42), including forward strut (20, 20), adjustably mounted on opposite ends, left and right arm restraints (26, 26), means 50 to secure to the torso, the left and right arm restraints are curved (the area of 26 where reference number 26 is illustrated in fig. 2 is curved) and the lateral support, left and right side strut portions and the left and right arm restraints are fixed length (fig. 2).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11

Art Unit: 3764

F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-16 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,640,809. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims recited in the present invention are broader and similar in scope and subject matter to the claims recite in U.S. Patent No. 6,640,809.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. No additional prior art was cited during this first office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 571-272-4972. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gergory Huson can be reached on 571-272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/692,048

Art Unit: 3764

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown May 10, 2005

MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER